

**Federal Civil Rights Complaint**

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF WISCONSIN  
AT GREEN BAY

---

ESTATE OF JOSEPH BIEGERT,  
By Special Administrator TONI BIEGERT,

Plaintiff,

Case No. 2:18-cv-\_\_\_\_\_

v.

CITY OF GREEN BAY,  
GREEN BAY POLICE CHIEF TOM MOLITOR,  
POLICE OFFICER MATTHEW DUNN,  
POLICE OFFICER BRIAN KRUEGER and  
JOHN DOE POLICE OFFICERS #1-10,

Defendants.

---

**FEDERAL COMPLAINT WITH JURY DEMAND**

NOW COMES the above-named Plaintiff, by and through attorney WALTER W. STERN III, and for the causes of action against the above-named Defendants, Plaintiff alleges and shows claims for relief as follows:

1. This is a federal civil rights action under the Fourth and Fourteenth Amendments to the Constitution of the United States and Title 42 of the United States Code, Section 1983. Plaintiff brings this action to obtain compensatory damages, punitive damages, attorneys' fees, costs and equitable relief for the serious personal injuries resulting in the death of JOSEPH BIEGERT, who was unlawfully subjected to excessive force when he was shot and killed on February 24, 2015 by Defendants POLICE OFFICER MATTHEW DUNN and POLICE OFFICER BRIAN KRUEGER. The conduct of the Defendants and the constitutional violations suffered by JOSEPH BIEGERT, occurred as a direct result of

## **Federal Civil Rights Complaint**

the unconstitutional policies of the Green Bay Police Department, Green Bay, Wisconsin and their agents.

### **JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

#### Jurisdiction

2. This action arises under the Fourth and Fourteenth Amendments to the United States Constitution and Title 42 of the United States Code, Section 1983. Jurisdiction of the Court is conferred by Title 28 of the United States Code, Sections 1331 and 1343(a)(3) and (4).

#### Venue

3. The Eastern District of Wisconsin is the proper federal venue for this action, pursuant to Title 28 of the United States Code, Section 1391 (b), because it is the judicial district where the constitutional rights violations of JOSEPH BIEGERT were committed.

### **PARTIES**

4. That JOSEPH BIEGERT, at all times material hereto, was a permanent resident of the City of Green Bay, State of Wisconsin and had attained the age of majority.
5. That TONI BIEGERT is the biological mother of JOSEPH BIEGERT, is a resident of Green Bay, Wisconsin, and is the duly appointed Special Administrator of his Estate.
6. That Defendant CITY OF GREEN BAY ("GREEN BAY"), at all times material hereto, was a municipal corporation, organized and existing under the laws of the State of Wisconsin, whose principal offices are located at 100 North Jefferson Street, Green Bay, WI 54301, Brown County, State of Wisconsin.
7. That Defendants GREEN BAY POLICE CHIEF TOM MOLITOR, POLICE OFFICER MATTHEW DUNN, POLICE OFFICER BRIAN KRUEGER and JOHN DOE POLICE

## **Federal Civil Rights Complaint**

OFFICERS #1-10, at all times material hereto, were adult residents of the City of Green Bay, Brown County, State of Wisconsin, and were employees of the GREEN BAY POLICE DEPARTMENT (“GBPD”) and GREEN BAY. That at all times material hereto, POLICE OFFICER MATTHEW DUNN, POLICE OFFICER BRIAN KRUEGER and JOHN DOE POLICE OFFICERS #1-10 were acting under color of state law, were carrying out their duties as GBPD employees and were acting within the scope of their employment with GREEN BAY.

### **FACTS**

8. That on Tuesday, February 24, 2015 at 7:31p.m., POLICE OFFICER MATTHEW DUNN and POLICE OFFICER BRIAN KRUEGER were sent to 1511 Plymouth Lane, Apartment E, in Green Bay, having been dispatched after TONI BIEGERT called GBPD requesting a “wellness check” on her son, JOSEPH BIEGERT.
9. That GBPD had had previous contact with JOSEPH BIEGERT, with documented reports of his mental illness and passive nature.
10. That it was widely known in the GBPD rank and file that JOSEPH BIEGERT suffered from mental illness and was non-violent in each and every prior encounter with GBPD officers.
11. That upon arrival, the officers were not immediately admitted to the apartment by JOSEPH BIEGERT, and continued to knock to gain entry, while JOSEPH BIEGERT called 911 to report the knocking at his door.
12. That when JOSEPH BIEGERT opened his apartment door, POLICE OFFICER MATTHEW DUNN and POLICE OFFICER BRIAN KRUEGER then forced the door open and stepped into the apartment.

## Federal Civil Rights Complaint

13. That POLICE OFFICER MATTHEW DUNN then conducted a search of the bedroom, bathroom and rear closet of the apartment for additional subjects and found none.
14. That the officers then questioned JOSEPH BIEGERT about taking pills and JOSEPH BIEGERT admitted to taking his prescription medications.
15. That POLICE OFFICER MATTHEW DUNN and POLICE OFFICER BRIAN KRUEGER then began to frisk and search JOSEPH BIEGERT for weapons or contraband.
16. That JOSEPH BIEGERT began to resist when POLICE OFFICER MATTHEW DUNN commenced the frisk of JOSEPH BIEGER in preparation for taking him into police custody, without a warrant, without probable cause that JOSEPH BIEGERT had committed any crime whatsoever, without any knowledge that JOSEPH BIEGERT lacked sufficient cognitive function to decline medical treatment, or that JOSEPH BIEGERT was a danger to himself or others, as defined by *Wisconsin Statutes* §51.<sup>1</sup>

---

<sup>1</sup> **51.15 Emergency detention.**

(1) Basis for detention; purpose.

(ag) The purpose of this section is to provide, on an emergency basis, treatment by the least restrictive means appropriate to the individual's needs, to individuals who meet all of the following criteria:

1. Are mentally ill, drug dependent, or developmentally disabled.

2. Evidence one of the standards set forth in par. (ar) 1. to 4.

3. Are reasonably believed to be unable or unwilling to cooperate with voluntary treatment.

(ar) A law enforcement officer or other person authorized to take a child into custody under ch. 48 or to take a juvenile into custody under ch. 938 may take an individual into custody if the officer or person has cause to believe that the individual is mentally ill, is drug dependent, or is developmentally disabled, that taking the person into custody is the least restrictive alternative appropriate to the person's needs, and that the individual evidences any of the following:

1. A substantial probability of physical harm to himself or herself as manifested by evidence of recent threats of or attempts at suicide or serious bodily harm.

2. A substantial probability of physical harm to other persons as manifested by evidence of recent homicidal or other violent behavior on his or her part, or by evidence that others are placed in reasonable fear of violent behavior and serious physical harm to them, as evidenced by a recent overt act, attempt or threat to do serious physical harm on his or her part.

3. A substantial probability of physical impairment or injury to himself or herself or other individuals due to impaired judgment, as manifested by evidence of a recent act or omission. The probability of physical impairment or injury is not substantial under this subdivision if reasonable provision for the individual's protection is available in the community and there is a reasonable probability that the individual will avail himself or herself of these services or, in the case of a minor, if the individual is appropriate for services or placement under s. 48.13

## Federal Civil Rights Complaint

17. That neither POLICE OFFICER MATTHEW DUNN nor POLICE OFFICER BRIAN KREUGER had any formal training in assessing mental health disorders, were not qualified to make any decision to detain JOSEPH BIEGERT to surrender him to paramedics, not mental health clinicians.
18. That when questioned by the Department of Criminal Investigation (“DCI”) agents, POLICE OFFICER MATTHEW DUNN stated the following:

“On Friday, February 27, 2015 two Special Agents from the Wisconsin Department of Justice - Division of Criminal Investigation interviewed Green Bay Police Officer Matthew Dunn in a conference room in the Green Bay Police Department. Also present were Officer Dunn's attorney, a Companion Green Bay Police Officer and a Green Bay Police Captain. The interview was recorded by DCI and the Green Bay Police Department. Officer Dunn provided the following information:

Officer Dunn advised that he had never known, or encountered any way, the subject, Joseph Biegert, prior to this incident.

“Officer Dunn heard the male walk away from the door and "rummage" at something then return and open the door. Officer Dunn asked the male if he was

---

(4) or (11) or 938.13 (4). Food, shelter or other care provided to an individual who is substantially incapable of obtaining the care for himself or herself, by any person other than a treatment facility, does not constitute reasonable provision for the individual's protection available in the community under this subdivision.

4. Behavior manifested by a recent act or omission that, due to mental illness, he or she is unable to satisfy basic needs for nourishment, medical care, shelter, or safety without prompt and adequate treatment so that a substantial probability exists that death, serious physical injury, serious physical debilitation, or serious physical disease will imminently ensue unless the individual receives prompt and adequate treatment for this mental illness. No substantial probability of harm under this subdivision exists if reasonable provision for the individual's treatment and protection is available in the community and there is a reasonable probability that the individual will avail himself or herself of these services, if the individual may be provided protective placement or protective services under ch. 55, or, in the case of a minor, if the individual is appropriate for services or placement under s. 48.13 (4) or (11) or 938.13 (4). The individual's status as a minor does not automatically establish a substantial probability of death, serious physical injury, serious physical debilitation or serious disease under this subdivision. Food, shelter or other care provided to an individual who is substantially incapable of providing the care for himself or herself, by any person other than a treatment facility, does not constitute reasonable provision for the individual's treatment or protection available in the community under this subdivision.

(b) The officer's or other person's belief shall be based on any of the following:

1. A specific recent overt act or attempt or threat to act or omission by the individual which is observed by the officer or person.
2. A specific recent overt act or attempt or threat to act or omission by the individual which is reliably reported to the officer or person by any other person, including any probation, extended supervision and parole agent authorized by the department of corrections to exercise control and supervision over a probationer, parolee or person on extended supervision.

## Federal Civil Rights Complaint

Joseph and the male said he was and acknowledged that he, Biegert, was depressed. Officer Dunn asked Biegert if Officers Krueger and Dunn could enter Biegert's apartment to speak with him. Biegert then allowed both officers in and walked in front of the officers into the apartment. Officer Dunn heard sounds in the bedroom and asked Biegert who else was in the apartment and Biegert said that he didn't know.

Officer Krueger stayed with Biegert in the living room while Officer Dunn conducted a protective sweep of the apartment. Officer Dunn then went to the bedroom and found that the sound was made by a shade in front of an open window. Officer Dunn then checked the bathroom and back closet and found that there was no one else in the apartment. Officer Dunn then let Officer Krueger know that the apartment was cleared and that there was no one else there. Officer Dunn returned to the living room and found that Biegert was seated on a sofa that was behind another sofa.

Officer Krueger was asking Biegert about what medications Biegert had taken while Officer Dunn observed open pill bottles and pills on the floor. When asked what he had taken, Biegert did not specify what he took but said that he took three of whatever it was. At this time Officer Dunn or Officer Krueger advised Rescue that Biegert was admitting to taking pills and cooperative and they could approach. Officer Dunn said it seemed that Biegert was going to accept their help.

Officer Krueger then asked Officer Dunn to pat-down Biegert for weapons for the safety of the Rescue personnel. **Officer Dunn did not have a suspicion that Biegert was armed but was anticipating that Biegert was going to the hospital in the ambulance and he did not want to hand-off an armed subject to the ambulance crew”.**

19. That POLICE OFFICER MATTHEW DUNN did lie to the DCI investigators in that he had previously participated in a wellness check of JOSEPH BIEGERT on December 7, 2014, where POLICE OFFICER MATTHEW DUNN interacted with JOSEPH BIEGERT for approximately twenty minutes.
20. That at no time did POLICE OFFICER MATTHEW DUNN or POLICE OFFICER BRIAN KREUGER ever advise dispatch, a superior officer, JOSEPH BIEGERT or anyone else, that they were effectuating a Chapter 51 “detention,” or the completion of the GBPD EM-1 form, for the emergency detention of JOSEPH BIEGERT.

## Federal Civil Rights Complaint

21. That neither POLICE OFFICER MATTHEW DUNN nor POLICE OFFICER BRIAN KREUGER have any Crisis Intervention Training or training in effective interaction with mentally ill people in the community. See, Employee Training Records annexed hereto and incorporated herein by reference as **Attachments “A” & “B,”** respectively.
22. That GBPD, on February 24, 2015, had an ineffective, limited and express Department Policy for “detention,” not Crisis Intervention Training.
23. That in 2014, the Wisconsin Department of Justice and Wisconsin Attorney General offered a formal Policy and Training for all Wisconsin law enforcement officers, yet GBPD did not participate in these training courses and presented undertrained and unqualified officers to the Green Bay community.
24. That subsequent to the shooting of JOSEPH BIEGERT, GBPD began publicizing that a number of the patrol officers now have Crisis Intervention Training. See, **Attachment “C”** annexed hereto and incorporated herein by reference.
25. That the GREEN BAY CHIEF OF POLICE did or should have known that the first responding police officers lacked sufficient training and experience with Crisis Intervention and the use of Chapter 51 of the Wisconsin Statutes for emergency “detention.”
26. That the Defendants in this case were effectuating an unlawful search or “protective sweep” and arrest of JOSEPH BIEGERT on February 24, 2015.

## **Federal Civil Rights Complaint**

### **CAUSES OF ACTION**

#### **First Cause of Action**

Title 42, United States Code, Section 1983 Unlawful Arrest & Detention against Defendants

27. Plaintiff re-alleges, and incorporates by reference, the allegations of the preceding paragraphs.
28. That JOSEPH BIEGERT had a constitutionally protected right, pursuant to the Fourth and Fourteenth Amendments to the United States Constitution, not to be unlawfully detained, arrested, shot and killed.
29. That, as set forth in the preceding paragraphs, these Defendants unlawfully detained, arrested, shot and killed JOSEPH BIEGERT.
30. That the Defendants acted under color of state law, custom and practice.
31. That the Defendants' unlawful detention and arrest resulted in the shooting and killing of JOSEPH BIEGERT, contrary to the Fourth and Fourteenth Amendments to the United States Constitution, and the use of excessive force.

#### **Second Cause of Action**

Title 42, United States Code, Section 1983 Unreasonable Search against the Defendants.

32. Plaintiff re-alleges, and incorporates by reference, the allegations of the preceding paragraphs.
33. That JOSEPH BIEGERT had a constitutionally protected right to be free from unreasonable searches and seizures, pursuant to the Fourth and Fourteenth Amendments to the United States Constitution.

## **Federal Civil Rights Complaint**

34. That the Defendants deprived JOSEPH BIEGERT of his constitutionally protected right to be free from unreasonable searches and seizures, contrary to the Fourth and Fourteenth Amendments to the United States Constitution.

35. That the Defendants intentionally caused the deprivation of JOSEPH BIEGERT's right to be free from unreasonable searches and seizures, and the taking of his life by use of excessive force, resulting in death, all contrary to the Fourth and Fourteenth Amendments to the United States Constitution.

### **Third Cause of Action**

Title 42, United States Code, Section 1983 - Denial of Due Process

36. Plaintiff re-alleges, and incorporates by reference, the allegations of the preceding paragraphs.

37. That the conduct and policy of the Defendants, as set forth in the preceding paragraphs, resulted in the denial of JOSEPH BIEGERT's right to Due Process, contrary to the Fourteenth Amendment to the United States Constitution.

### **Fourth Cause of Action**

Title 42, United States Code, Section 1983

Deficient Hiring and Continued Employment Policy against GREEN BAY

38. Plaintiff re-alleges, and incorporates by reference, the allegations in the preceding paragraphs.

39. That at all material times hereto, GREEN BAY is a "person" for purposes of Title 42 of the United States Code, Section 1983.

40. That prior to 2015, GREEN BAY had an official policy with respect to the hiring and continued employment of GBPD police officers.

## **Federal Civil Rights Complaint**

41. That prior to 2015, the policy-makers of GREEN BAY made a conscious choice from various alternatives to follow its official policy with respect to the hiring and continued employment of GBPD police officers.
42. That prior to 2015, GREEN BAY's official policy with respect to the hiring and continued employment of GBPD police officers was deficient in that it did not include administration of complete psychological testing of officer candidates.
43. That prior to 2015, the policy-makers of GREEN BAY permitted the hiring of certain GBPD police officers, even though complete psychological testing would lead an objectively reasonable policy-maker to conclude, as set forth in the preceding paragraphs, that said deputies would be highly likely to deprive third parties of their constitutional rights, including, but not limited to: (a) the right not to be unlawfully detained; (b) the right to be free from unreasonable searches; and (c) the right to be free from the use of excessive force.
44. That prior to 2015 and continuing to the present, the policy-makers of GREEN BAY permitted/permit the continued employment of certain GBPD police officers, even though complete psychological testing would lead an objectively reasonable policy-maker to conclude, as set forth in the preceding paragraphs, that said deputies would be highly likely to deprive third parties of their constitutional rights, including, but not limited to: (a) the right not to be unlawfully detained; (b) the right to be free from unreasonable searches; and (c) the right to be free from the use of excessive force.
45. That the policy-makers of GREEN BAY knew that, as set forth in the preceding paragraphs, complete psychological testing of officer candidates was needed to avoid highly likely deprivations of constitutional rights, including, but not limited to: (a) the

## **Federal Civil Rights Complaint**

right not to be unlawfully detained; (b) the right to be free from unreasonable searches; and (c) the right to be free from the use of excessive force.

46. That GREEN BAY's deficient policy with respect to the hiring and continued employment of GBPD police officers caused the violation of JOSEPH BIEGERT's constitutional rights, in that the use of excessive force, resulting in his death, was contrary to his rights under the Fourth and Fourteenth Amendments to the United States Constitution.

### **Fifth Cause of Action**

Title 42, United States Code, Section 1983 Failure to Train Policy against GREEN BAY

47. Plaintiff re-alleges, and incorporates by reference, the allegations in the preceding paragraphs.

48. That at all relevant times herein, GREEN BAY is a "person" for purposes of Title 42 of the United States Code, Section 1983.

49. That prior to February 2015 and continuing to the present, the policy-makers of GREEN BAY made/make a conscious choice from various alternatives to follow its official policies with respect to the training of GBPD police officers.

50. That prior to February 24, 2015 and continuing to the present, GREEN BAY's official policies with respect to the training of GBPD police officers were/are inadequate with respect to the recurring situations of encountering individuals with mental illness and/or using force against said individuals.

51. That prior to February 24, 2015 and continuing to the present, the policy-makers of GREEN BAY knew that more and/or different training of GBPD police officers with respect to: (a) encountering individuals who are suffering from mental illness and/or experiencing a crisis situation; (b) dealing with intense situations involving individuals

## **Federal Civil Rights Complaint**

who are suffering from mental illness and/or experiencing a crisis situation; and (c) using force against individuals was/is needed to avoid likely unlawful detentions, unreasonable searches, and uses of excessive force; and/or that this was/is plainly obvious to the policy-makers of GREEN BAY, and resulted in JOSEPH BIEGERT's death.

52. That GREEN BAY's failure to provide adequate training to GBPD police officers caused the violations of JOSEPH BIEGERT's constitutional rights, and the injuries and damages to the Plaintiff, as set forth in the preceding paragraphs.

53. That GREEN BAY's official policies with respect to the training of GBPD police officers are currently inadequate with respect to the recurring situations of encountering individuals with mental illness and using force against individuals.

### **Sixth Cause of Action**

Title 42, United States Code, Section 1983 Failure to Discipline Policy against GREEN BAY

54. Plaintiff re-alleges, and incorporates by reference, the allegations in the preceding paragraphs.

55. That at all relevant times herein, GREEN BAY was a "person" for purposes of Title 42 of the United States Code, Section 1983.

56. That prior to February 24, 2015 and continuing to the present, the policy-makers of GREEN BAY made/make a conscious choice from various alternatives to follow its official policies with respect to the discipline of GBPD police officers.

57. That prior to February 24, 2015 and continuing to the present, GREEN BAY's official policies with respect to the discipline of GBPD police officers were/are inadequate with respect to the recurring situations of unlawful detentions, unreasonable searches, and uses of excessive force.

## **Federal Civil Rights Complaint**

58. That prior to February 24, 2015 and continuing to the present, the policy-makers of GREEN BAY knew or should have known that more and/or different policies with respect to the discipline of GBPD police officers was/is needed to avoid likely unlawful detentions, unreasonable searches, and uses of excessive force; and/or that this was/is plainly obvious to the policy-makers of GREEN BAY.
59. That GREEN BAY's failure to discipline GBPD police officers caused the violations of JOSEPH BIEGERT's constitutional rights, and the injuries and damages to JOSEPH BIEGERT and the Plaintiff.
60. That GREEN BAY's official policies with respect to the discipline of GBPD police officers are currently inadequate with respect to the recurring situations of unlawful detentions, unreasonable searches, and uses of excessive force.

### **Seventh Cause of Action**

Title 42, United States Code, Section 1983

Custom of Condoning Constitutional Rights Violations against GREEN BAY

61. PLAINTIFF re-alleges, and incorporates by reference, the allegations in the preceding paragraphs.
62. That, at all relevant times herein, GREEN BAY was a "person" for purposes of Title 42 of the United States Code, Section 1983.
63. That the actions and/or inactions of the Defendants in unlawfully detaining, unreasonably searching, and using excessive force against JOSEPH BIEGERT were done in accordance with GREEN BAY's custom of condoning constitutional rights violations.
64. That GREEN BAY's custom of condoning constitutional rights violations was/is so persistent and widespread, as set forth in the preceding paragraphs, that it was/is GREEN BAY's official policy.

## **Federal Civil Rights Complaint**

65. That GREEN BAY's custom of condoning constitutional rights violations permitted, encouraged, tolerated or ratified the actions and/or inactions of the Defendants, all in malicious or reckless disregard or with deliberate indifference regarding the constitutional rights of JOSEPH BIEGERT.
66. That the policy-makers of GREEN BAY made/make a conscious choice from various alternatives to follow its custom of condoning constitutional rights violations.
67. That the policy-makers of GREEN BAY acted with deliberate indifference to the consequences of its custom of condoning constitutional rights violations.
68. That GREEN BAY's custom of condoning constitutional rights violations caused the violations of JOSEPH BIEGERT's constitutional rights, and the injuries and damages to JOSEPH BIEGERT and the Plaintiff, as set forth in the preceding paragraphs.

### **DEMAND FOR JUDGMENT**

WHEREFORE, the Plaintiff demands judgment against the Defendants as follows:

- a. In favor of the Plaintiff and against the Defendants and GREEN BAY, as set forth in the preceding paragraphs, jointly and severally, for compensatory and special damages;
- b. In favor of the Plaintiff and against the Defendants, as set forth in the preceding paragraphs, for punitive damages;
- c. In favor of the Plaintiff and against GREEN BAY, as set forth in preceding paragraphs, for its liability pursuant to Wisconsin Statute Section 895.46;
- d. For injunctive and other equitable relief to prevent like actions and harms in the future;

## Federal Civil Rights Complaint

- e. That as to each and every infringement and reckless violation of constitutional rights causing loss of life, pain, suffering, pecuniary damages in an amount determined by the jury;
- f. That the conduct of the Defendants was malicious and reckless, justifying an award of punitive damages; and
- g. For all costs, disbursements, interest and reasonable attorney's fees pursuant to Title 42 of the United States Code, Section 1988, and for such other relief as the Court deems just and equitable.

PLAINTIFF HEREBY DEMANDS A JURY TRIAL OF THIS ACTION  
ON ALL ISSUES SO TRIABLE.

Dated this 13<sup>th</sup> day of March, 2018.

By: electronically signed by Walter W. Stern III  
WALTER W. STERN III  
Counsel for Plaintiff  
920 85<sup>th</sup> Street, Unit 123  
Kenosha, WI 53143  
(262) 880-0192/(262) 997-1101  
[wstern1@wi.rr.com](mailto:wstern1@wi.rr.com)

**ATTACHMENT “A”**

# Federal Civil Rights Complaint



## Green Bay Police Department

307 S. Adams St. Green Bay, WI 54301 (920) 448-3200

3

### Employee Training Record

This report lists all training hours completed by employees of the Green Bay Police Department. This report only lists training that was logged through the GBPD Professional Standards Division. Parameters include employee name and date range.

User ID	Start Date	End Date	Hours	Class Name
DUNN , MATTHEW	2/18/2015	2/18/2015	4.00	GROUND DEFENSE AND ESCAPES (NEW WI 720 DAAT MATERIAL)
	2/13/2015	2/13/2015	4.00	LEGAL UPDATE 2015
	2/3/2015	2/9/2015	8.50	WINTER 2015 IN-SERVICE
	10/30/2014	10/30/2014	8.50	2014 FALL INSERVICE MACTAC TRAINING
	5/28/2014	5/28/2014	8.50	SPRING 2014 INSERVICE (DOJ VP 2013-2015 CIRRICULLUM
	5/20/2014	5/20/2014	4.00	BELOW 100 TRAINING
	5/14/2014	5/14/2014	3.00	GBPD COMPUTER RESOURCES CLASS FOR FIRST LINE OFFICERS
	4/16/2014	4/16/2014	4.00	GROUND SURVIVAL
	4/1/2014	4/1/2014	1.00	APRIL 2014 MONTHLY TRAINING HANDGUN QUALIFICATION
	3/5/2014	3/5/2014	1.00	MEDICAL TRIAGE TRAINING
	2/27/2014	2/27/2014	4.00	BASIC SEARCH WARRANT PREPERATION - BROWN CO DTF UNIT
	2/20/2014	2/20/2014	1.50	PBT CERTIFICATION
	2/3/2014	2/4/2014	8.50	WINTER 2014 INSERVICE
	10/17/2013	10/29/2013	8.50	FALL 2013 INSERVICE
	7/16/2013	7/16/2013	3.00	LANDLORD TRAINING
			<b>Total:</b>	<b>72.00</b>

**\*\*LAW ENFORCEMENT SENSITIVE\*\*NOT FOR PUBLIC RELEASE\*\***

This report was run on: 11/6/2017 8:39:49 AM by GBIS\JohnBa

Page 1 of 1

**ATTACHMENT “B”**

# Federal Civil Rights Complaint



## Green Bay Police Department

307 S. Adams St. Green Bay, WI 54301 (920) 448-3200

2

### Employee Training Record

This report lists all training hours completed by employees of the Green Bay Police Department. This report only lists training that was logged through the GBPD Professional Standards Division. Parameters include employee name and date range.

User ID	Start Date	End Date	Hours	Class Name
KRUEGER , BRIAN	2/13/2015	2/13/2015	4.00	LEGAL UPDATE 2015
	2/3/2015	2/9/2015	8.50	WINTER 2015 IN-SERVICE
	10/30/2014	10/30/2014	8.50	2014 FALL INSERVICE MACTAC TRAINING
	5/28/2014	5/28/2014	8.50	SPRING 2014 INSERVICE (DOJ VP 2013-2015 CIRRICULLUM
	5/14/2014	5/14/2014	3.00	GBPD COMPUTER RESOURCES CLASS FOR FIRST LINE OFFICERS
	4/1/2014	4/1/2014	1.00	APRIL 2014 MONTHLY TRAINING HANDGUN QUALIFICATION
	3/19/2014	3/19/2014	4.00	BUSINESS CRIME PREVENTION STRATEGIES - SESSION 3
	3/5/2014	3/5/2014	1.00	MEDICAL TRIAGE TRAINING
	3/3/2014	3/3/2014	4.00	COMMUNITY ORIENTED/PROBLEM ORIENTED POLICING CONCEPTS AND STRATEGIES - SESSION 1
	2/27/2014	2/27/2014	4.00	BASIC SEARCH WARRANT PREPERATION - BROWN CO DTF UNIT
	2/25/2014	2/25/2014	8.00	FIREARMS IDENTIFICATION AND TRACING CRIME GUNS
	2/17/2014	2/19/2014	24.00	FTO CERTIFICATION/RECERTIFICATION
	2/7/2014	2/7/2014	4.00	GANGS 102
	2/3/2014	2/4/2014	8.50	WINTER 2014 INSERVICE
	1/7/2014	1/7/2014	4.00	UNDERSTANDING USE OF FORCE CONCEPTS
	10/17/2013	10/29/2013	8.50	FALL 2013 INSERVICE
	7/29/2013	7/29/2013	3.00	TASER X2 TRANSITION
	5/30/2013	5/30/2013	4.00	UNDERSTANDING USE OF FORCE CONCEPTS
	4/26/2013	4/26/2013	4.00	GANGS 101 TRAINING
	4/16/2013	5/14/2013	8.50	2013 SPRING INSERVICE APRIL MAY
	2/28/2013	2/28/2013	1.00	FEBRUARY 2013 MONTHLY TRAINING
	1/31/2013	1/31/2013	1.00	JANUARY ON SHIFT TRAINING
	1/23/2013	2/11/2013	8.50	2013 WINTER IN-SERVICE JAN/FEB
	12/31/2012	12/31/2012	1.00	DECEMBER ONSHIFT TRAINING
	11/30/2012	11/30/2012	1.00	NOVEMBER 2012 ON SHIFT TRAINING
	10/1/2012	10/31/2012	0.50	OCTOBER ON SHIFT TRAINING
	9/4/2012	10/16/2012	8.50	2012 FALL INSERVICE SEPT 4 - OCT 16
	9/13/2011	1/1/0001		2011 FALL FIREARMS INSERVICE

**\*\*LAW ENFORCEMENT SENSITIVE\*\*NOT FOR PUBLIC RELEASE\*\***

This report was run on: 11/6/2017 8:40:37 AM by GBIS\JohnBa

Page 1 of 2

# Federal Civil Rights Complaint



## Green Bay Police Department

307 S. Adams St. Green Bay, WI 54301 (920) 448-3200

### Employee Training Record

User ID	Start Date	End Date	Hours	Class Name
KRUEGER , BRIAN	5/5/2011	1/1/0001		Inservice Spring 2011 SANE
	2/1/2011	1/1/0001		Secondary Firearms Qualifications
	2/1/2011	1/1/0001		FEBRUARY 2011 IN-HOUSE FIREARMS TRAINING
	1/20/2011	1/1/0001		2011 WINTER IN-SERVICE
	1/17/2011	1/1/0001		Computer Crime Investigation
	11/1/2010	1/1/0001		Nov-Dec. 2010 In-House Training
	9/2/2010	1/1/0001		FALL INSERVICE - 2010
	9/1/2010	1/1/0001		Sept./Oct. DAAT In-House Training
	6/1/2010	1/1/0001		DAAT In-house Training (Taser)
	4/1/2010	1/1/0001		March - April In-house Firearms Training
	4/1/2010	1/1/0001		2010 Spring In-Service
	2/1/2010	1/1/0001	8.50	2010 WINTER IN-SERVICE
	2/1/2010	1/1/0001		Feb. DAAT In-House Training
	1/1/2010	1/1/0001		January Firearms Training
		<b>Total:</b>	<b>153.00</b>	

**\*\*LAW ENFORCEMENT SENSITIVE\*\*NOT FOR PUBLIC RELEASE\*\***

This report was run on: 11/6/2017 8:40:37 AM by GBIS\JohnBa

Page 2 of 2

**ATTACHMENT “C”**

# Federal Civil Rights Complaint

12/22/2017

Community Crisis Intervention Training (CCIT) - Green Bay Police Department



About GBPD	Departments	Online Services	Careers	Contact
------------	-------------	-----------------	---------	---------

<a href="#">Animal Control</a>
<a href="#">Community Crisis Intervention Training (CCIT)</a>
<a href="#">Dive Team</a>
<a href="#">Marine Unit</a>
<a href="#">Honor Guard</a>
<a href="#">K-9</a>
<a href="#">Patrol</a>
<a href="#">S.W.A.T.</a>
<a href="#">Traffic Enforcement</a>
<a href="#">Professional Standards</a>
<a href="#">Special Events</a>

[Home](#) > [Departments](#) > [Operations](#) > [Community Crisis Intervention Training \(CCIT\)](#)

## Community Crisis Intervention Training (CCIT)

***If you are experiencing a crisis, severe depression, suicidal thoughts, or know of someone who is, please call 911 or the Suicide Hotline at the Crisis Center (920) 436-8888.***



Mental illness is statistically the number one disability in America. It affects 1 out of every 4 families. Something you may not know is that 60% of most mental health consumers report having contacts with law enforcement.

In Green Bay, the anchor agency behind the CIT Initiative is NAMI Brown County, an affiliate of NAMI, the National Alliance on Mental Illness ([www.nami.org](http://www.nami.org)).

CIT officers are patrol officers who volunteer to receive specialized training in working with persons with mental illness. In addition to handling their regular patrol duties, these officers are called upon to respond to crisis calls that place officers face to face with complex issues relating to mental illness. CIT officers help identify persons in need of community services, assists at getting them connected to those resources, and works at helping to keep them connected for long term solutions.

### External Links

- [City of Green Bay](#)
- [Crime Stoppers](#)
- [Crime Alert Network](#)
- [Facebook](#)
- [Twitter](#)
- [YouTube](#)
- [Nixle](#)
- [MyPD App](#)



© 2017 Green Bay Police Department

Green Bay Police Department  
307 S. Adams Street  
Green Bay, WI 54301  
920-448-3200

